```
1
   LAW OFFICES OF MARC J. WODIN
   Marc J. Wodin, Esq. - SBN 79573
Elana Bitton, Esq. - SBN. 130835
   21600 Oxnard Street, Suite 1110
3
   Woodland Hills, California 91367
   Telephone (818) 595-3490
   Fax (818) 595-3494
4
5
   Attorneys for Defendant CONSECO SENIOR HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY
6
7
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10
   PAMELA THOMPSON, Individually )
                                         CASE NO.: C 07-05437 PJH
11
   and as Personal
   Representative of CHARLES
                                          [Sonoma County Superior
12
   THOMPSON, Deceased,
                                          Court Case No. 241544]
                                          RE-NOTICE OF MOTION TO
13
              Plaintiff,
                                          DISMISS PURSUANT TO FRCP
14
                                          12(b)(6), AND TO STRIKE
         VS.
                                          PURSUANT TO FRCP 12(f);
15
   CONSECO SENIOR HEALTH
                                          MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
   INSURANCE COMPANY, a
                                          AUTHORITIES
16
   Pennsylvania corporation,
   DOES 1 through XX
                                          DATE: December 19, 2007
17
                                          TIME: 10:00 a.m.
                                          CTRM: 3,17<sup>th</sup> Floor
18
              Defendant(s).
                                          Assigned to: The Honorable
19
                                          Phyllis J. Hamilton
20
   TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
21
   CALIFORNIA AND TO THE INTERESTED PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF
2.2.
   RECORD:
23
         Please take notice that on December 19, 2007, at 10:00 a.m.
   in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor, of the above entitled court located
24
25
   at the U.S. Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco,
26
   California, defendant Conseco Senior Health Insurance Company
27
    ("defendant") will move the court for an order dismissing Pamela
28
   Thompson, insofar as she is suing in her own capacity, on the
```

RE-NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS, ETC.

first cause for breach of contract, the second cause for bad faith, and the third for violation of Welfare and Institutions Code §§15600.

Said motion will be made pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6), on the ground that said causes, and each of them, do not state a claim on which relief can be granted, in that Pamela Thompson does not have standing to sue in her own capacity.

Additionally, defendant will move the court for an order either dismissing the first cause of action for breach of contract pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6), or striking the following portions of the complaint, and each of them: Paragraph 12 of the first cause of action for breach of contract: "The acts of Defendants alleged in Paragraph 8, supra, were done maliciously and oppressively and with the intent of defrauding Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to exemplary or punitive damages." Prayer, item 3 "For punitive damages" (insofar as it relates to the first cause of action for breach of contract).

Said motion will be made pursuant to FRCP 12(f), on the ground that punitive damages are not recoverable on a cause for breach of contract.

Additionally, defendant will move the court for an order either dismissing the second cause of action for bad faith and the third cause of action for violation of Welfare and Institutions Code §§15600 pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6), or striking the following portions of the complaint, and each of them:

Paragraph 15 of the second cause of action for bad faith: "As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress. . ."

Law Offices Marc J. Wodin

### Case 3:07-cv-05437-PJH Document 10 Filed 11/06/2007 Page 3 of 7

1 Paragraph 19: As a proximate result of said violations. . . 2 Charles Thompson. . . suffered emotional distress. . ." 3 Said motion will be made pursuant to FRCP 12(f), on the ground that Charles Thompson is deceased, damages for emotional 4 5 distress do not survive death, and a personal representative or 6 successor interest of the decedent cannot recover damages for 7 the decedent's emotional distress in an action brought after the 8 decedent's death. 9 Said motion will be based on this notice, the pleadings, 10 records and documents on file in this action, the memorandum of 11 points and authorities served and filed herewith, as well as such 12 oral and documentary evidence as may be presented at the time of 13 the hearing of the motion. 14 15 DATED: November 6, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF MARC J. WODIN 16 17 /S/ Marc J. Wodin MARC J. WODIN 18 Attorneys for Defendants CONSECO SENIOR HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY, 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### 1. Introduction

Plaintiff Pamela Thompson, suing in her own capacity, and as a representative of Charles Thompson, deceased, alleges that:

Charles Thompson was insured under a Long Term Care Insurance

Policy issued by Transport Life Insurance Company, which was assumed by defendant Conseco Senior Health Insurance Company

("defendant"). (Cmplt., para 5 and Exhibit A to Cmplt.) On or about April 2005, Charles Thompson became entitled to benefits under the policy. (Cmplt. para. 6) Defendant wrongfully denied benefits. (Cmplt. para. 7) Charles Thompson died on June 8, 2007.

Pamela Thompson is his successor in interest. (Cmplt. para. 10)

Plaintiff alleges that this conduct constituted a breach of

Plaintiff alleges that this conduct constituted a breach of the insurance contract (first cause), bad faith (second cause) and a violation of Welfare and Institutions Code §\$15600 et. seq.(the causes)

Pamela Thompson is seeking to sue, on all three causes, not only as a successor in interest of Charles Thompson, but also in her own capacity, alleging that this conduct constituted a wrong to her, and entitles her to damages. (Cmplt. paras. 1-19 and prayer) She has no standing to bring the action, on her own behalf.

Further, on the first cause for breach of contract, plaintiff seeks recovery of punitive damages on behalf of Charles Thompson. (Cmplt. para. 12.) Punitive damages are not recoverable on a cause for breach of contract.

Further, on the second cause for bad faith, and the third cause for violation of Welfare and Institutions Code §\$15600 et.

seq., plaintiff seeks recovery of damages for emotional distress on behalf of Charles Thompson - i.e. damages for emotional distress which Charles Thompson allegedly suffered prior to his death. (Cmplt. paras. 15, 19) Damages for emotional distress do not survive death, and a personal representative or successor interest of the decedent cannot recover damages for the decedent's emotional distress in an action brought after the decedent's death.

## 2. Pamela Thompson has no standing to sue in her own capacity, only as a representative of Charles Thompson.

A non-party to an insurance contract, has no standing to enforce it or recover extra-contractual damages, for the wrongful withholding of benefits. Seretti v. Superior National Insurance

Co. (1999) 71 Cal.App. 4th 920, 930 ("[S]omeone who is not a party to the contract has no standing to enforce it or recover extra-contractual damages for the wrongful withholding of benefits" - affirming an order sustaining defendants' demurrer, where a person, who was not a party to the insurance contract, sought to sue the insurer in contract and tort for wrongful denial of benefits). See also Jones v. Aetna Casualty and Surety

Co. (1994) 26 Cal.App. 4th 1717, 1722 (same); Hatchwell v. Blue

Shield of California (1988) 198 Cal.App. 3d 1027, 1034 (same) 1

Pamela Thompson is not a party to the insurance contract.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Further, "a non-party who is nevertheless entitled to policy benefits, such as an insured person under the terms of the policy, or an express beneficiary, has standing only if he or she is the *claimant* whose benefits are wrongfully withheld." <u>Siretti</u>, supra, p. 930, and <u>Jones</u>, supra, p. 1722, citing <u>Hatchwell</u>, supra

She has no standing to bring this action in her own capacity.

3 4

Law Offices Marc J. Wodin

RE-NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS, ETC.

## 3. Plaintiff cannot recover punitive damages on the first cause for breach of contract.

Punitive damages are not recoverable on a cause for breach of contract, even if the defendant's breach was willful or fraudulent. Dryden v. Tri-Valley Growers (1977) 65 Cal.App. 3d 990, 999 ("It is well settled in this state that punitive damages may not be awarded based on breach of contract, even though the defendant's breach was willful or fraudulent.") See also Vale v. Union Bank (1979) 88 Cal.App. 3d 330, 339 (trial court "correctly ruled that punitive damages are not recoverable for breach of contract."); Hoffmayer v. Dean Witter & Co. 459 F. Supp. 733, 740 (N.D.Cal. 1978) ("California does not permit recovery of punitive damages in a contract action.")

Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages on the cause for breach of contract should be stricken.

# 4. There can be no recovery of damages from Charles Thompson's emotional distress. Such damages did not survive his death.

Damages for emotional distress do not survive death, and a personal representative or successor interest of the decedent cannot recover damages for the decedent's emotional distress in an action brought after the decedent's death. Code of Civil Procedure §377.34 ("In an action. . . by a decedent's personal representative or successor in interest on the decedent's cause of action, the damages recoverable. . . do not include damages for pain, suffering or disfigurement.") See also Maddox v.

### Case 3:07-cv-05437-PJH Document 10 Filed 11/06/2007 Page 7 of 7

1	Philadelphia Life Insurance Company 77 F. Supp. 2d 1123, 1134
2	("Plaintiff's recovery of emotional distress damages is expressly
3	barred by California's survival statute [i.e. Code of Civil
4	Procedure §377.34, previously Code of Civil Procedure §573]
5	Plaintiff's present no authority to overcome the long-established
6	rule that emotional distress damages are within the definition of
7	pain and suffering damages and do not survive the death of a
8	decedent under the California survival statute."); Neal v.
9	Farmers Insurance Exchange (1978) 21 Cal.3d 910, 920 ("The trial
10	court properly ruled that because Mrs. Neal had died prior to
11	trial, no damages for emotional distress were recoverable.)
12	The claim for damages for emotional distress on the second
13	cause for bad faith, and the third cause for violation of Welfare
14	and Institutions Code §§15600, et seq. should be stricken.
15	
16	DATED: November 6, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF MARC J. WODIN
17	
18	By /s/ Marc J. Wodin
19	MARC J. WODIN
20	Attorneys for Defendant CONSECO SENIOR HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	